

The date on which your subscription expires will be found on the wrapper.  
The paper will be stopped on that day unless previously renewed.  
1st sent to individual subscribers.

1st sent to individual subscribers.

# The People.

VOL. VIII—NO. 39.

NEW YORK, DECEMBER 25, 1898.

PRICE 2 CENTS

PITTSBURGH, PA.

Asks: "Where Does the Workingman Come In?"

A Municipal Declaration of Principles and Platform that Opens the Municipal Fight With Red-Hot Shot and Sound Language—No Compromise! No Reform! The Total Overthrow of Capitalism!

PITTSBURGH, Dec. 18.—The Socialist Labor party decided to enter city politics and last night a convention was held in the headquarters of the Pittsburgh Branch of the party, at 420 Grant street. To a man who did not know what was going on the assembly looked very little like a political gathering, and indeed was not conducted like a gathering of either of the old parties. No wire-pulling or any of the other distinguishing marks by which capitalist political conventions are generally distinguishable was to be seen and the delegates were apparently out to enjoy life as they saw best, while deeply in earnest in the matter which brought them together.

Comrade John R. Root was chosen chairman of the convention, and F. E. Blunk secretary. As a Credential Committee Charles Rupp, William Eberle, Leon Gross, William Hunt and Otto Mahrer were appointed. They made a report that 41 delegates were present and these were seated.

William Eberle, H. A. Goff and J. S. Bergman were appointed on the Resolutions Committee and presented the following:

Section Pittsburgh, of the Socialist Labor party, in convention assembled on this day, December 17, 1898, resolves as follows:

1. We denounce the nominating conventions of the two old capitalistic parties as purely farcical; merely an automatic confirmation of the slaves previously prepared by the "Bosses," whose methods and practices are utterly repugnant to the genius of popular government, and are in fact the very essence of treason.

2. We denounce the system of so-called "Voluntary Contributions" for campaign purposes, as a system of blackmail upon the city employés and an intolerable outrage; the pretext that the loss of an election means the loss of their positions will not hold good, because there must always be city employés. The Socialist Labor party believes in ability and merit as the principles upon which men ought to be employed in public service.

3. No city employé should be required to remain on duty more than eight hours for one day's service, and yet we recognize the fact that in the modern processes of production the hours of labor should be still further decreased.

4. We denounce the wholesale granting of enormously valuable public franchises to traction and other companies through service acquiescence of the City Councils as an open theft of the people's property. These franchises should never have been granted at all, yet, had they been disposed of at fair prices and under proper conditions, the cities of Pittsburgh and Allegheny would to-day be free of debt; we also denounce the murderous practice of running open summer-cars almost to the middle of winter, as such a practice must inevitably result in sickness and deaths and is inexcusable criminality, practised mainly upon lines directly under the control of the "Boss" Magee. These facts, coupled with the facts that there are some hundreds of suits pending against these companies for malting and killing men, women and children by the recklessness and brutal manner of operating the roads, fully justify the demand of the Socialist Labor party that these charters, black with corruption, shall be repealed, the plants and rolling stock confiscated by the people and the roads controlled and operated by the municipality.

5. We demand to know by what right the Philadelphia Gas Co. was allowed to lay mains upon the Smithfield St. Bridge, said bridge being at the time the public property of the city of Pittsburgh.

6. We denounce the purchase of the worthless Tenth St. Bridge as a conspiracy by the city officers to rob the people. A bridge that no private firm would purchase at \$25,000, yet it was demanded upon the city for \$386,000; and that too in spite of the fact that the U. S. Government had condemned the bridge and had formally notified the city of Pittsburgh that it would have to be rebuilt.

7. We denounce the practice in Pittsburgh of building boulevards in those sections of the city inhabited by capitalistic sharks and corrupt "city Bosses," while the working people's districts are invariably poorly paved, or not paved at all, and are frequently left unclean and in an unsanitary condition. These boulevards and some other expensive ornaments have been the main causes for almost doubling the fixed expenses of Pittsburgh since the year 1890.

8. We denounce the system of tax robbery known as the "Rural Tax" as an outrageous piece of special class legislation. The "Rural Tax" permits the capitalist class to own immense private parks upon which they pay but a nominal tax. "Boss" Flinn's information to the Labor League of Western Pennsylvania was that this was the class that deserved to be encouraged. Meanwhile the workingman, who is trying to own a 25 x 100 ft. lot

LOS ANGELES A-FIRE.

Comrade Harriman Completely Overwhelms a Capitalist Judge.

LOS ANGELES, Cal., Dec. 7.—The close of the municipal campaign in Los Angeles was marked by one of the most successful and in many respects one of the most remarkable meetings ever held in that city. The Section engaged the Music Hall for Sunday afternoon, Dec. 4, and invited the well-known corporation lawyer, Judge R. H. F. Variel, to debate the New Charter with Comrade Harriman. Much to the general surprise and in evidence of how former prejudices are rapidly dying out, Judge Variel accepted the invitation and a large audience made up of every class in the community gathered together to hear the two champions both able representatives of the opposing interests in the "class struggle."

To show how Socialism has grown in Los Angeles in the last two years it might be well to compare the meetings held during the past three months with those held during the campaign of 1896. Then small hall holding perhaps 70 or 80 persons was all the Section could afford, the only advertising to be depended upon being the work of enthusiastic comrades in passing on notice of meetings to their friends and acquaintances by word of mouth. How different it all is now. Since September 1 three large meetings have been held in one of the largest halls in this city, a meeting has taken place in a hall in nearly every Ward besides. The regular Sunday evening meetings never attended by less than 300 persons. As to advertising take for instance the meeting of Dec. 4. In the first place, the announcement of that meeting appeared on each of the 20,000 circulars containing the municipal platform that were distributed throughout Los Angeles. Then, thanks to the kindly assistance of Mr. H. G. Wilshire, posters appeared on his bill-boards in every part of the city, and lastly, the Seventh Regiment Band paraded the business portion for two hours prior to the meeting. One need hardly be surprised that the S. L. P. vote has increased several hundred per cent, and that the comrades are hopeful of immense increase in 1900.

To return to the debate, Judge Variel is a man well versed in the law and accustomed to the lengthy arguments and dry methods of his profession, but he is entirely ignorant of all economic questions that do not directly bear on the interests of the class he represents. As some one in the audience remarked: "The Judge is behind the times," and said another: "Yes, and the 'Times' is behind him," and it was amusing to note how little he seemed aware that a large proportion—probably four-fifths—of the electorate of Los Angeles favor the municipal ownership of public utilities. His main contention was that while the new charter was by no means perfect, still taken as a whole, it was a great improvement on the old. He threshed all over again the well worn defence of the necessity of increasing the powers of the Mayor, carefully refraining from any mention as to how completely this policy had failed in New York. He also defended the provision taking the power of appointment in certain cases from the people and placing it in the hands of the Mayor. Taken as a whole, his arguments were of the weakest nature and only served to show the foolishness of permitting a lot of fogies to draw up a charter that ought to be abreast of the times. In fact only two points of any real interest to the people were brought out in a somewhat tedious exposition of a dry subject. The first was that under the new charter provision would be made for ALL the people to enjoy the privileges of four-inch water mains and (2) that its framers had honestly attempted to find some means of putting a stop to the blackmailing and seducing of school teachers by a corrupt and dishonest School Board.

The effect of Comrade Harriman's appearance before the audience was similar to that experienced by the sight of the hills covered with green by the long and dry California summer. His splendid reception must have amply repaid him for the many sacrifices and the hard labors of the past six months. Hardly had he begun before the audience was completely captivated and this despite the fact that fully one-half was there to hear Judge Variel. As the Los Angeles "Times" truthfully says, his points "were cheered to the echo." He argued that to increase the powers of the Mayor simply meant to increase the power of corruption. Here Judge Variel, unfortunately, interrupted by stating that the Mayor or his appointees failing to do their duty could be impeached. To which came the reply: "Yes, and they tried to impeach Webb, that rascally School Director, who debauched teachers, and though no one for a moment doubted his guilt, they completely failed." To which rally, coming home as it did to every man and woman in the audience there was quite 30 seconds of applause. From this point on the entire audience saw that Judge Variel was overwhelmed and applause again marked the arguments of our distinguished comrade. In fact, when the Judge rose to reply in rebuttal the audience received him with applause almost out of sympathy.

As was anticipated by its promoters, no meeting held by the party has done more good than this one and Comrade Harriman's success has given him a prominence which nothing can shake. In fact, Harriman's brilliant rallies and caustic replies have created considerable talk and amusement among

## THE SOCIAL QUESTION.

The Development of Man From Barbarism to Civilization.

A Washington, D. C., correspondent asks several questions suggested to him by the following passages which he quotes from one of our pamphlets, "The Co-operative Commonwealth":

"The productive forces that have developed in the lap of capitalist society have become irreconcilable with the very system of property upon which it is based."

"The endeavor to uphold this system of property is tantamount to rendering impossible all further social development; to condemn society to a standstill and to stagnation—a stagnation, however, that is accompanied with the most painful convulsions."

"Every further perfection of production increases the contradiction that exists between these and the present system of property."

These are the questions:

1. What is meant by "civilization"?

2. What is meant by "social development"?

3. Why is the endeavor to uphold the capitalist system of property tantamount to rendering impossible all further development, etc.?

4. In what way have the productive forces become irreconcilable with the capitalist system of property; what is the contradiction that exists between the two; and how is the contradiction increased?

5. What is meant by "painful convulsions"?

No. 1. WHAT IS CIVILIZATION?

Civilization is the reverse of barbarism. Man develops from Barbarism. The knowledge of what Barbarism means aids in understanding what is meant by Civilization. The leading feature of Barbarism is that, at that social stage, man resembles the brute in an important particular: the brute, with few exceptions, lives "from hand to mouth"; its whole existence is limited to the supply of its necessities of life; so with the barbarian. The physical wants of man are the groundwork of his existence: these must be first attended to; if attended to, life is preserved and the intellect may develop; if unattended to, life is extinguished and intellectual development has no chance. With the barbarian, the acquisition of the things necessary to satisfy man's physical wants, i. e., to maintain life, bespeaks the whole time of the human being. He is unable to produce even as much as he needs; he is, consequently, in perpetual want and the apprehension of want. As a result of this "industrial condition" of things, the barbarian has all the brutal and savage instincts of the beasts of the forest. His intellectual powers have no opportunity to develop.

Civilization is that social stage, or that industrial condition, where the physical wants of man can be supplied so easily and abundantly that the burden of toil for existence merely, together with the fear of want, is lifted from his shoulders and his mind; where, as a result thereof, the brutal and savage instincts of the beast drop off; and where the intellectual forces, absorbed and stunted under barbarism in the necessary effort to sustain life, are free to grapple with more elevated subjects, and develop in the peaceful pursuits of ethics, the arts, and the sciences.

No. 2. WHAT IS SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT?

Social development is the march of mankind, society, from the stage of Barbarism to that of Civilization. The more abundant the things needed to maintain life, the greater also is the distance between the race and Barbarism, the nearer it is to Civilization, the completer is its social development. The store of the material necessities of man depends upon the tool of production. Without any tool whatever, the race is at the lowest depths of Barbarism. The tool adds inches to man over nature. The moment he attacks Nature armed with the tool, he steps above the plane of the brute. Nature yields more abundant stores of the wherewithals of existence, the struggle for life becomes less arduous, the fear of want less oppressive. The more powerful the tool, the larger are the fruits of man's labor. The appearance of that tool or machinery of production, whose perfection would enable man to wring from Nature such ample stores of the material things to support life that arduous toll would be unnecessary and the spectre of want would need no longer dog his heels, is the necessary foundation and the condition precedent for Civilization. The landmarks of social development are the tools of production.

The term "civilized nation" is frequently applied to modern nations. It is an inaccurate use of the word "civilized." A civilized man is he in whom the brute instincts of the beast of prey, the instincts of preying upon others for a living, have been wiped out by the ease with which he can obtain an abundance of the necessities of life. A civilized nation must be a nation made up of such men. No such nation exists to-day, or has ever been recorded in history. The nations termed "civilized" are, more accurately speaking, semi-civilized; they are on the high road to civilization, but have not yet reached that goal.

The power of the tool to conjure forth wealth from Nature depends upon whether or not it promotes co-operative labor. Let the tool be ever so artfully contrived. If the individual alone can operate it, its productive powers will be limited; they will never reach the

point required by Civilization. Co-operative effort, on the other hand, multiplies infinitely the fruits of the labor of the individual; achievements otherwise impracticable become facts, and the abundance that is otherwise impossible is easily attained. Co-operation frees man from the trammels of his individuality, and develops the capabilities of his species. Long was the lane that the race travelled with tools, which, though becoming ever more perfect, yet retained that simplicity that enabled the individual alone to operate them. So long as that epoch lasted, the individual worker was divorced from all others, and individual production continued with all its shortcomings.

When our country became free it was at this, the individual stage of industry. Barely was the century turned, however, when the machine that began to appear in the field of production began to bear the features that tended toward co-operative labor; this feature developed rapidly; to-day, the machinery of production not only compels co-operative labor, but renders all efforts at individual production more and more abortive. Not only has the tool grown to such gigantic mechanisms that the co-operation of large numbers of workers is requisite to operate it, but it has furthermore led to such a division and subdivision of labor as has infinitely prompted co-operation, and thereby has immensely stimulated the fruitfulness of labor. The United States, to-day, stands fully within the co-operative stage of industry or social development. To-day the capabilities of the tool of production are such that abundance is possible beyond the dreams of dreamers of the past, and with that is possible that popular well being that could free man from arduous toll for existence, that could disengage his mind from the carking care of the fear of want, and that, emancipating him wholly from the instincts of the beast, could afford him ample leisure and means to give full rein to his intellectual and moral development. In short, to-day Civilization is possible. But is it a fact? No.

No. 3. WHY IS THE ENDEAVOR TO UPHELD THE CAPITALIST SYSTEM OF PROPERTY TANTAMOUNT TO RENDERING IMPOSSIBLE ALL FURTHER DEVELOPMENT, ETC.?

The capitalist system of property is the individual system of property in the tools of production. This system of property makes its first appearance in the days of individual production, and is the foundation of society throughout that period. Already then there lies in the system the economic laws that disable it from ever effecting Civilization. When, however, the system is carried onward into our own days of co-operative labor, and vests in private or individual hands the property in the gigantic tools now requisite for production, then these economic laws break down, and the system of production becomes relentlessly, for evil.

The ownership of the tool is the sole guarantee to the worker of his ownership of the fruits of his labor. He who owns the tools without which he cannot work is master of what he produces. He who does not is inevitably dependent upon him who does hold the tool, and from this dependence is born the inability to keep and enjoy the fruits of his labor: he will sacrifice a part, almost the whole, if need be, in consideration of being allowed access to the needed tools, so as to be enabled to keep body and soul together.

So long as the tool was so slight that it could be produced with comparatively little labor, this economic law could not become palpable; the ownership of the tool of production could not yet confer masterhood over the toolless man; he could readily equip himself with that needed weapon of life and freedom by producing it himself. As the tool developed, its acquisition became more difficult. Finally the day, our present generation, dawned when the tool has grown into such proportions that its production by the individual is out of all question.

Gov.-elect Pingree of Michigan, pointed to a deeper truth than he knew when he said that thirty years ago, when he knew hardly anything of the shoe trade, he had \$400, that he then joined another with \$1,000, and that he started a shoe factory with that amount; and then he went on to remark: "I now have thirty years' experience, and yet what could I do to-day with that \$1,400? Nothing. It needs to-day to start a shoe factory many thousand times that amount."

When the development of the machinery of production has reached this stage, then the continuance of the capitalist system of property blocks the way to Civilization. The capitalist class, the small class that owns the tool now needed for production, can render competition impossible to those who own only weaker tools; these are swept off the industrial field, and are thrown headlong into the class of the proletariat. If those with some capital (the privately owned tool of modern production) were unequal to the fray, those with none whatever, the proletariat or working class, are still more hopelessly lost. As none but the capitalist class that owns the needed tools, all others are deprived of the opportunity to work and earn a living without they are allowed access to the tools that are not theirs, and hence they inevitably lose

SAN ANTONIO AT IT.

Follows Up the State Election With a Municipal Fight.

SAN ANTONIO, Tex., Dec. 13.—Section San Antonio, Socialist Labor party, held its city convention in its hall, 114 Losoya street, on December 4, 1898, with Comrade E. T. Ayer in the chair and Comrade B. T. Harris secretary. The convention decided to have but one candidate in the field, that of Alderman at Large; and Comrade Frank Leitner was unanimously nominated its candidate.

The convention then proceeded to formulate and adopt the following municipal platform:

We affirm the principles declared in our State and National platforms, and assert our determination to achieve by political and constitutional means the abolition of the present system of wage-slavery and of private ownership of the means of production and distribution, and to substitute therefor the Co-operative Commonwealth.

The welfare and prosperity of a city depends upon the steady employment of its citizens, in the various fields of production at remunerative returns. Realizing that only by carrying out our radical programme, can labor be permanently benefited, yet for temporary relief we hereby pledge our nominee, if elected, to use his best efforts to enforce the following demands:

1. Eight hours shall be the maximum day's labor, and two dollars the minimum day's pay for city employees.

2. Increase of the compensation of subordinate employés and reduction of the present exorbitant salaries of the higher city officials, and the abolition of all fees and perquisites, including the Mayor's Contingent Fund.

3. No new franchise or extension of existing franchise to be granted, and revocation of all franchises the conditions of which have not been complied with.

4. Municipal ownership of lighting plants, water works and car lines, the same to be operated, not for profit, to reduce the taxes of the property owners, but for the benefit of all citizens. The employés to manage the same co-operatively under the control of the city administration, and to elect their own superior officers. No employé shall be discharged for political reasons. Surplus revenue over the cost of operating public utilities to be used to increase wages of employés and establishment of a sick and disabled benefit fund, for employés and other citizens.

5. An immediate change in the management of the city hospital, to a more humane and modern system.

6. Abolition of the contract system on public works; direct employment of labor by the city.

7. Free employment bureau.

8. The illiteracy and ignorance prevalent among the voters of this city, owing to the inadequate educational facilities, is one of the causes of political corruption. We therefore demand immediate increase of school facilities so as to accommodate all children of school age. Free school books for all, and free noon day meals, and free clothing upon application for all school children unable to buy some.

9. Well regulated system of food and dairy inspection, prevention of the manufacture and sale of adulterated or poisoned food commodities.

10. Abolition of occupation tax in every industry, business, and occupation.

11. Immediate organization of a permanent and scientific system of street improvement.

12. Necessary funds for these purposes to be raised, first by the savings realized by the curtailment of administration expenses; second, by direct taxation and a rigid enforcement by the assessor of the present laws requiring all property to be assessed at its full value.

13. All railroad companies shall be required to erect, maintain, and operate gates, at their expense, both day and night at every place their road crosses a street, within the two mile limit, short spur tracks and street railroads not included.

Commenting upon these results a local paper says:

"The steadily increasing vote given to the candidates of the Socialist Labor party, in city, State and nation, indicate that the party has come to stay, and that it is a factor which must be taken into account in political reckonings hereafter. It is believed that the plan on which the party is organized and managed will keep it free from entangling alliances, which brought immediate temporary success, but which are pretty sure to lead to ultimate defeat."

BOSTON, Dec. 17.—For School Committee: Martha Moore Avery, 8,836; last

## THE PEOPLE.

Published at 184 William Street, New York  
EVERY SUNDAY.

## TERMS TO SUBSCRIBERS.

Invariably in advance:

|                    |      |
|--------------------|------|
| one year.....      | 8.00 |
| six months.....    | 4.00 |
| single copies..... | 0.05 |

As far as possible, rejected communications will be returned if so desired and stamps are enclosed.

Entered as second-class matter at the New York, N. Y. Post office on April 6, 1891.



## SOCIALIST VOTE IN THE UNITED STATES.

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| In 1888 (Presidential)..... | 2,068  |
| In 1890.....                | 18,581 |
| In 1892 (Presidential)..... | 21,157 |
| In 1894.....                | 28,122 |
| In 1896 (Presidential)..... | 36,564 |
| In 1897.....                | 55,678 |

Bourgeois revolutions, like those of the eighteenth century, rush onward rapidly from success to success, their stage effects outbid one another, men and things seem to be set in flaming brilliants, ecstasy is the prevailing spirit; but they are short-lived, they reach their climax speedily, then society relapses into a long fit of nervous reaction before it learns how to appropriate the fruits of its period of feverish excitement.

MARK.

Comrade William Watkins, of Dayton, O., passed away on the morning of the 19th instant.

Comrade Watkins' demise is a severe loss to the party, not in his own State only, where his high character and great attainments added dignity to the Socialist movement, but throughout the country where he was known, respected and loved through his writings.

Not his estimable and extensive family only, but all his comrades in arms mourn their loss.

## EPOCH-MARKING.

There is a certain news item that started somewhere in the neighborhood of the Rockies, and then, moving eastward over Cincinnati, finally reached New York, and has been latterly ladled out as "news" by our "newspapers." The item is of more than passing interest, in truth it marks an epoch. It purports to be a plan to bring about with certainty certain labor demands; the plan proposes a conference of representative men of several organizations in the land—the Socialist Labor party among them.

It has for years been the contention of the S. L. P. that it can not be ranked among political parties strictly; that it is, by the very law of its existence and of the circumstances that gave it birth, essentially a Labor Organization; consequently, that it is entitled to a seat in the Councils of Labor. This contention has been opposed. The false light in which people have become accustomed to look upon political parties, prevented them from appreciating it, and, thus, many people honestly have resisted the idea of having the S. L. P. recognized as a sister body in the Parliaments of Labor; the dishonest, of course, profiting by the popular error, joined the outcry; it became almost a maxim at one time: "If the S. L. P., why not admit any other party?"

The plan that proceeds from the Rockies is a straw that indicates that the superstition is, at least, wearing out. Notwithstanding the plan is hair-brained in that it imagines help can come forthwith, as if by magic, from above, from "leaders" and not from education in the rank and file; notwithstanding it is otherwise as full of holes as a sieve, yet the mere fact of its placing the S. L. P. on the list of the conferees raises it to a significance that can not escape the attentive observer of events and that marks an epoch in the intellectual development of the understanding of the Social Question.

The S. L. P. is a Labor Organization typifying the very head and front of the Labor Movement; the Council Table of Labor is incomplete and is unripe where the S. L. P. is not present, and in the presiding chair.

## NOT A CLASS GOVERNMENT?

Congress has met. Whose Congress? The Congress of the American people, we imagine to hear the answer. Socialism maintains that there is no such thing as "the Congress of the American people," unless by "the American people" is meant, not what the term means in common parlance, but something special, to wit, "the ruling portion of the American people," and that only would go to prove the point.

The time for theorizing on this subject is gone by. A man, ignorant of farming, may take a bramble-bush for an apple tree; he may even contemptuously look upon him who would warn him of his error; but, unless he be an idiot, his error can not survive one summer and autumn. When the bramble-bush, instead of producing the expected apple, produces only the dry berry and thorn of the bramble-bush, then theory is at an end. So it must be with the bramble-bush of our Government.

The governmental tree that is the whole people's must produce fruit for the whole people. Has Congress done

that? Its latest performance answers the question.

No sooner had it convened, when, despite the widespread want of the people, its first thought was given to an anti-scalper's bill. In other words, to a bill exclusively in the interest of the large Railroad Corporations whose business was interfered with by the scalpers. These, acting as brokers for the smaller railroad concerns, injured the interests of the large concerns; and these interests were to be safeguarded!

Laws that are to relieve the working class of the heavy burden resting on its shoulders; laws to safeguard its children and wives; laws to protect them against capitalist-inflicted diseases and premature death—these and all such bespeak the attention of Congress no more than the condition of Zulus in Africa.

The Government is a social organism indispensable to the working class; unless it is class-consciously owned by the proletariat, it is a bramble-bush that sets out only prickles to them and flowers and blossoms for their oppressors.

## SNUBBED IN THE HOUSE OF ITS FRIENDS.

Socialists are not of those who allow themselves to be snuffed with noise. As such, we consider the recent gathering in Kansas City, Mo., of "delegates" to the A. F. of L. convention" to deserve but little notice. The "convention" indeed, would like to be taken seriously and made much of; that is in line with its purposes, to wit, to advertise its shining lights to the capitalist politicians as "leaders of labor," and—get jobs in consideration of their services.

We know, however, that it is

"waning moonshine"; once, indeed,

did the workers of America look to it

with respect and hope, and felt to be

a part of it; that, thanks to the class-

conscious education and hammer blows

that the Socialist Labor party and its

sister the Socialist Trade & Labor Al-

liance have been spreading and dealing

right and left, is no longer the case;

how far the masses have been taken

from those fakirs is well proven by

their recent experiences at holding pub-

lic meetings in Kansas City; while,

within the "convention," fakirdom

ruled the roost, and thus seemed to

represent the majority of the workers,

outside of the "convention," the truth

that they represent nothing was made

clear: the "majority" of the "conven-

tion" could only get meetings of 28 or

30 people on the outside, while the

minority of the "convention," at the

mass meeting it held under auspices of

the Socialist Labor party, filled large

halls to overflowing. Similar, only in-

creasingly so, has been the experience

of late years, proving beyond cavil that

the fakirs are representing a steadily

waning constituency, and their pure

and simpledom is indeed a poor, old

stranded wreck, while the masses are

turning to us. Nevertheless, there is

one thing that happened at this blessed

"convention" that is worthy of note:

the way that bogus Socialist party

variously called Social Democracy and

Democratic Socialist was treated.

At the "convention," there were several delegates of that bogus party; economically and politically they are of the flesh and bone of the other fakirs. These "Socialist" delegates had but one object—scuttle the S. L. P.: Amen, was the feeling that thrilled through their fakir compatriots. In order to do that, the former introduced a resolution that called for "united political action on the part of the workers upon class lines," and they made it quite clear that it was introduced not in the interest of the S. L. P., but in the interest of the bogus, armories-to-capitalist-voting "Socialist" concern. One would imagine that such a resolution, presented under such auspices, would be jumped at by the other fakirs. Well, they did not; on the contrary, they jumped on it with both feet. The language and tenet of the resolution, being pirated from the S. L. P., was soundly Socialist; the animal instinct of the assembled fakirs told them that it could not be supported without being an endorsement of the S. L. P.; and that would be to cut the market for jobs from under their own feet. Accordingly, not only was the resolution rejected, but it was rejected upon a series of speeches that snubbed by wholly ignoring the bogus party of Tobin, the proposer thereof, and limited it to bestowing its compliments upon the S. L. P. and the S. L. P. alone.

Indeed, the United States, broad

though its area be, is too narrow a

confine to contain more than one bona

fide Socialist party. That party is the

S. L. P., it has earned its place as such,

is known to be such, and no other

party, to whatever extent it may pirate

our name or platform, will ever be

looked upon as anything but humbug.

The instinct of the assembled fakirs in Kansas City was unerring, so unerring that, notwithstanding their full sympathy with the real objects of the Tobin-Carey party, they, its friends, snubbed it roundly.

## BOSTON, MASS.

THE PEOPLE is for sale at the following places:

Geo. G. Leavitt, 188 Harrison Avenue.  
John Braithwaite, 84 West Canton Street.  
Cohen, 925 Washington Street.

## POLITICAL and ECONOMIC.

Holyoke local politicians seem to be worrying a great deal about what the new Socialist Labor party Alderman will do and not do, and, consequently, a great deal of nonsense is being written and talked on the subject.

The following clipping from the leading paper of Holyoke, the "Transcript," is a fair sample:

## IF MR. RUTHER DON'T VOTE

Will be all kinds of trouble.

Alderman Will be at Loggershead all the Year—Democrat for Marshal—Promotion for Geran—City Physician Flight.

It is given out that Mr. Rutherford, having been seen by various capitalists for whom he desired the Socialist's vote in the Board of Aldermen, has made the statement that it was contrary to the rules of his party to vote upon certain questions and that he would take no part in the naming of certain officials. It is hard to believe that Mr. Rutherford maintains any such position, however. He may have refused to make any promises, as all Aldermen should do, but the chances are that when the time comes to a vote Mr. Rutherford will be heard from.

His silence would mean no end of trouble for the incoming city government. It would keep the members at loggerheads all the year. Each party having ten representatives it would be a case of a tie vote on most every question of importance.

The Government is a social organism indispensable to the working class; unless it is class-consciously owned by the proletariat, it is a bramble-bush that sets out only prickles to them and flowers and blossoms for their oppressors.

## SNUBBED IN THE HOUSE OF ITS FRIENDS.

Socialists are not of those who allow themselves to be snuffed with noise. As such, we consider the recent gathering in Kansas City, Mo., of "delegates" to the A. F. of L. convention" to deserve but little notice. The "convention" indeed, would like to be taken seriously and made much of; that is in line with its purposes, to wit, to advertise its shining lights to the capitalist politicians as "leaders of labor," and—get jobs in consideration of their services.

We know, however, that it is

"waning moonshine"; once, indeed,

did the workers of America look to it

with respect and hope, and felt to be

a part of it; that, thanks to the class-

conscious education and hammer blows

that the Socialist Labor party and its

sister the Socialist Trade & Labor Al-

liance have been spreading and dealing

right and left, is no longer the case;

how far the masses have been taken

from those fakirs is well proven by

their recent experiences at holding pub-

lic meetings in Kansas City; while,

within the "convention," fakirdom

ruled the roost, and thus seemed to

represent the majority of the workers,

outside of the "convention," the truth

that they represent nothing was made

clear: the "majority" of the "conven-

tion" could only get meetings of 28 or

30 people on the outside, while the

minority of the "convention," at the

mass meeting it held under auspices of

the Socialist Labor party, filled large

halls to overflowing. Similar, only in-

creasingly so, has been the experience

of late years, proving beyond cavil that

the fakirs are representing a steadily

waning constituency, and their pure

and simpledom is indeed a poor, old

stranded wreck, while the masses are

turning to us. Nevertheless, there is

one thing that happened at this blessed

"convention" that is worthy of note:

the way that bogus Socialist party

## FOR FUTURE REFERENCE.

Below are the letters from Comrade Martha Moore Avery to the Massachusetts State Central Committee, S. L. P., reporting her experience in Marlboro, whether she was recently deputed by the S. C. C. to address the shoemakers on strike:

Marlboro, Mass., Nov. 19, 1893.

To L. D. Usher, Secretary State Central Committee.

The best I could do last night with the Strike Committee was to gain their consent to place the request that I be invited to give a course of short lectures before the strikers.

I made it plain to them that we should enter the field here and in the event of refusal on their part to allow us to appear before their members that their action would put the responsibility upon them for the future conduct of the labor movement in Marlboro.

Burns and Murray seem to take the lead on the Committee, one man whose name I do not know is very hostile and one other man I am assured we shall one day win. They openly say that they want us to keep our hands off. I assured them that this, as all other fields, is ours. All the conference was conducted in the most courteous manner. THE PEOPLE arrived to-day.

Fraternally,

MARTHA MOORE AVERY.

Marlboro, Mass., Nov. 21, 1893.

To the General Committee of the Striking Shoemakers of Marlboro.

Gentlemen:—The Socialist Labor party of this Commonwealth in the person of the undersigned prefers this request to your body, that you give one hour at your headquarters at any time during the day or evening to suit your convenience for the purpose of a course of lectures in Social Economics on the following subjects:

1. Three Stages in the Production of Wealth.

2. The Wage System.

3. Competition and Monopoly.

4. Trades Unions.

5. Woman's Relation to the Labor Movement.

6. The Revolution in Industry.

These lectures are, of course, to be of no expense to you.

Awaiting a reply on Thursday next, I am sincerely yours,

MARTHA MOORE AVERY,

571 Columbus avenue, Boston.

• • •

Marlboro, Mass., Nov. 23, 1893.

The Committee were well organized against me: had voted me ten minutes to the interview, but when I got inside they had not the courage to tell me the time limit, it came out later when Murray came in to help them out. They almost decided to let me go out and publish to the country that they would not let me speak before them, but thought of it and asked me to put it to the different trades in the craft. Thus you see I scored a victory which I regard better than their permission to speak, because it tells how very important they think the occasion to be. They tell me that each and every branch of the craft meets during the week.

I shall be obliged to go home on Friday to attend the Free Speech case so it may drag out but this talk is going the round of the town will do as much good as my appearance before a union. I would that some of you could have been at the conference to see the power of the S. L. P.: it grips their life-blood; there was but one man on the Committee who is not steeped in the unquenchable manipulating influence of the trade unions to his personal advantage.

The workmen nominated the Mayor and the Democrats endorsed him, and the Democrats nominated the Aldermen and the workmen endorsed them; so you see the "mad dance of the merry devils goes on."

Oh! the leader thought to win me by telling me that he thought the new party ought to be tried this year. "That is your party," said he, "I voted for it." I think politics must have been in trades unions some time here.

Fraternally,

MARTHA MOORE AVERY.

Marlboro, Dec. 6, 1893.

10:30 P. M.

I arrived in town to-night with the purpose to attend the delegate meeting of the 10 organizations which compose the shoe craft in this city.

I proceeded to their headquarters, and although it was but 7:35, I found the meeting adjourned.

On my way there I noticed that the City Hall was lighted, and I inquired of a policeman what was to take place there. He replied: "There is to be a Democratic rally." I put two and two together and asked if the delegates had adjourned to attend the rally. I was answered in the affirmative. I turned back and went into the hall.

At 8 o'clock there were not less than 700 men present. I assume that you know of the "Citizens Workmen's" party here which endorsed the Democratic candidate for Mayor, and that the Democratic party endorsed the Workmen Aldermen. Trades Unions have plunged into capitalist politics.

Surly, the strikers' chief agent, was the chairman of the meeting. The candidate for Mayor was the first speaker. The word gentleman was so often on his lips that it was evident to my mind that he had constantly to remind himself to flatter his audience. Then came the precious Harry Lloyd. He accounted for the many turnings of his political coat by saying: "I was never a Democrat save when the Democrats have a labor platform." Harry, Harry, you know that the Democratic party never had a labor platform! He reminded the voters that they should have invited State Secretary Ohl, having voted for him, and appealed as a friend of workmen that he should be better treated the next time. Next came the (to be seen to be known) Mayor of Lynn. A full mouthed tone

PEOPLE-TWO

2 and a string of high sounding words in praise of himself was the sum of his discourse.

I felt the crime that was being perpetrated upon the unoffending strikers. I deliberated. Shall I ask for the floor? I looked over the audience but could

see no one familiar face. Yes, this is the time to make a statement on class politics. Just as the Mayor took his seat I went forward: "Mr. Chairman, Mr. Chairman," I reiterated. Murray scented the situation and tried not to recognize me. He called for three cheers for the candidates which, perhaps owing to the confusion he had created by not recognizing me, were weekly responded to.

I appealed to the audience, shall I have 15 minutes to address you. "Yes, yes, yes," came from all parts of the hall. As I went upon the stage away new as by magic the men who had so lately been in happy possession of it one man only came forward and removed the chair that I might pass to the front of the stage. I began:

" Fellow workmen! I come not as a Democrat, I come not as a Republican,

I do come as a Socialist sent here by the State Central Committee of Massachusetts to address the striking shoe-workers upon the laws that govern the labor movement. I appeared before your Strike Committee with no definite result. I then went before the Building Committee; they would have me return to the Strike Committee. No, I could be sent to still another Committee but not back to one I had come from." At this point Mr. Murray came upon the stage and up to me saying, "I want to make an announcement." I, of course, courteously ceased speaking.

He said: "This lady has been hanging around for a long time asking to be allowed to speak. The people she represents went into the New Bedford strike and created a disturbance there. I advise you not to listen to her and as there is a rally in another hall, I hope you will immediately leave the hall." Instantly the audience arose to its feet, some hissing me and some crying to hear me—howling, whistling and shouting went on—I raised my voice above the din and had succeeded in gaining attention of two or three hundred men when the janitor appeared upon the scene and turned out the lights save one poor blaze at the stage entrance; he said to me: "You can leave by the stage entrance." I knew better than to leave the crowd, so stood on the floor and talked to the men about me until the last light was put out. We then filed down the aisle towards the lighted hallway, there again I made a halt, cry of "Put her out," hissing and other demonstrations of cowardice were rife. When they became less frequent I said: "I go at my option, not at your say so. Of course, it is my pleasure to go when the lights are out. Let me bid you good night and say to you that I shall come again some day, then you will send for me. I can assure you that you will go into labor politics, but it will be minus the fakir element which encumbers it now and it will be sharply divided from the capitalist parties, Democrat or Republican. You will then be a part of the genuine labor movement, I mean the Socialist Labor party and the Socialist Trade & Labor Alliance."

While still on the stage, some men came forward, one of whom I knew as a Boston comrade. A body-guard seemed at one point necessary as I got one or two vicious kicks while in the hallway. We passed down out through a wondering and dazed crowd of men. I shall send out the literature when time enough has elapsed to give the showmen time to think. We must hold a public meeting.

Fraternally,

MARTHA MOORE AVERY.

571 Columbus avenue, Boston.

• • •

Marlboro, Mass., Nov. 23, 1893.

The Committee were well organized against me: had voted me ten minutes to the interview, but when I got inside they had not the courage to tell me the time limit, it came out later when Murray came in to help them out. They almost decided to let me go out and publish to the country that they would not let me speak before them, but thought of it and asked me to put it to the different trades in the craft. Thus you see I scored a victory which I regard better than their permission to speak, because it tells how very important they think the occasion to be. They tell me that each and every branch of the craft meets during the week.

I shall be obliged to go home on Friday to attend the Free Speech case so it may drag out but this talk is going the round of the town will do as much good as my appearance before a union. I would that some of you could have been at the conference to see the power of the S. L. P.: it grips their life-blood; there was but one man on the Committee who is not steeped in the unquenchable manipulating influence of the trade unions to his personal advantage.

The workmen nominated the Mayor and the Democrats endorsed him, and the Democrats nominated the Aldermen and the workmen endorsed them; so you see the "mad dance of the merry devils goes on."

Oh! the leader thought to win me by telling me that he thought the new party ought to be tried this year. "That is your party," said he, "I voted for it." I think politics must have been in trades unions some time here.

Fraternally,

MARTHA MOORE AVERY.

Marlboro, Dec. 6, 1893.

10:30 P. M.

I arrived in town to-night with the purpose to attend the delegate meeting of the 10 organizations which compose the shoe craft in this city.

I proceeded to their headquarters, and although it was but 7:35, I found the meeting adjourned.

On my way there I noticed that the City Hall was lighted, and I inquired of a policeman what was to take place there. He replied: "There is to be a Democratic rally." I put two and two together and asked if the delegates had adjourned to attend the rally. I was answered in the affirmative. I turned back and went into the hall.

At 8 o'clock there were not less than 700 men present. I assume that you know of the "Citizens Workmen's" party here which endorsed the Democratic candidate for Mayor, and that the Democratic party endorsed the Workmen Aldermen. Trades Unions have plunged into capitalist politics.

Surly, the strikers' chief agent, was the chairman of the meeting. The candidate for Mayor was the first speaker. The word gentleman was so often on his lips that it was evident to my mind that he had constantly to remind himself to flatter his audience. Then came the precious Harry Lloyd. He accounted for the many turnings of his political coat by saying: "I was never a Democrat save when the Democrats have a labor platform." Harry, Harry, you know that the Democratic party never had a labor platform! He reminded the voters that they should have invited State Secretary Ohl, having voted for him, and appealed as a friend of workmen that he should be better treated the next time. Next came the (to be seen to be known) Mayor of Lynn. A full mouthed tone

PEOPLE-TWO

2 and a string of high sounding words in praise of himself was the sum of his discourse.

I felt the crime that was being perpetrated upon the unoffending strikers. I deliberated. Shall I ask for the floor? I looked over the audience but could

## CORRESPONDENCE

[Correspondents who prefer to appear in print under an assumed name, will attach such name to their communication, besides their own signature and address. None other will be recognized.]

## Echoes from Kansas.

To THE PEOPLE.—As the election is over and the results substantially known, we can turn once more to the work of building up.

It was supposed that confusion was killed in Kansas; but such is not the case. It is over.

Dear Sirs:—and the rest of the gang of "Socialist Populists" are out now to "unite all the reform forces in one body," to wit, the "Social-Democracy." This means the Leedy gang with another label.

We can expect from now on to hear of all the fakir element being vigorously pushed in this State. They will die hard, but their doom is sure.

Why was Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

they made to the people. It makes no difference whether their issue was true or false. The party's promises were broken.

That caused them to be distrusted.

As Socialists we can reap a rich harvest.

The stay-at-home vote can reap a rich harvest.

The saying goes, "We are for Missouri and against the South." We are for the South and against the North.

Mr. Leedy's administration turned down? Because they ignored every pledge

</div

